ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA173748

Filing date:

11/08/2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91175280	
Party	Plaintiff Magnadyne Corporation	
Correspondence Address	Matthew R. Mowers BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 Town Center, 22nd floor Southfield, MI 48075 UNITED STATES mmowers@brookskushman.com, sgibbons@brookskushman.com	
Submission	Motion to Extend	
Filer's Name	Matthew R. Mowers	
Filer's e-mail	mmowers@brookskushman.com	
Signature	/mrm/	
Date	11/08/2007	
Attachments	Motion to Extend.pdf (4 pages)(85492 bytes)	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Trademark Application on Serial No. 76/655,958 Mark: MOVIEVISION				
MAGNADYNE CORPORATION)			
Opposer,)			
v .) Opposition No. 91175280			
MOVIEVISION, INC.)			
Applicant.)			

TTAB BOX NO FEE
Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TESTIMONY PERIOD

Opposer, Magnadyne Corporation, respectfully moves the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (hereinafter "the Board") to extend Opposer's testimony period and all subsequent dates established in accordance with Rule 2.128(a)(1) of the Trademark Rules of Practice for a period of sixty (60) days from the ruling on the present motion, and reset the remaining dates thereafter.

The grounds for this motion are as follows:

1. The parties have been engaged in settlement discussions on and off for several months.

- 2. Counsel for Opposer contacted Applicant several times in November, 2007 to seek consent to an extension of Opposer's testimony period. Opposer requested this extension to accommodate the travel schedule of its witness for Magnadyne Corporation, CEO Barry Caren. Mr. Caren will present testimony on behalf of the Opposer regarding Opposer's use of its MOVIE VISION trademark and rights associated with the MOVIE VISION U.S. trademark registrations.
- 3. Opposer's counsel advised Applicant that the close of Opposer's testimony period was approaching, and requested Applicant's consent to a sixty (60) day extension of Opposer's testimony period and all dates following on the trial calendar to accommodate Mr. Caren's schedule.
- 4 Applicant was unable to provide Opposer with an answer to accept or deny this extension request in a timely manner.
- 5. Opposer has shown good cause for this motion given that: (a) Opposer attempted to seek consent for this motion, but was unable to do so in light of Applicant's inability to provide an answer; (b) Opposer's request for an extension of its testimony period is not the result of any delay or negligence on Opposer's part; (c) Opposer is not abusing the privilege of extensions; and (d) Opposer's motion is not for the purposes of delay, but rather is to give the parties additional time to schedule testimony of important witnesses.
- 6. Opposer believes that this extension will not prejudice Applicant, given that the requested extension is short and Applicant will have ample opportunity to participate in the testimony period.

For the reasons stated above, Opposer submits that the extension of time sought by this motion is fully warranted and should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

KEVIN J. HEINL

(P32219)

MATTHEW R. MOWERS

(P55853)

1000 Town Center Twenty-Second Floor

Southfield, Michigan 48075

(248) 358-4400

Attorneys for Opposer

Dated: November 8, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I served:

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TESTIMONY PERIOD

on November 8, 2007 by:	
delivering	
<u>✓</u> mailing (via First-Class	mail)
a copy to:	·
Indiana Retana 9435 Charleville Blvd. Beverly Hills, CA 90212	
Applicant	
	Carolina Realizaine
	Carolyn Bielaniec